

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARRY D. ALEXANDER,

Plaintiff,

No. 03-CV-1014 LKK KJMP

vs.

LT. PLAINER, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

On June 13, 2005, plaintiff filed an “ex parte motion for appointment of counsel.” The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff’s request for the appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.

////

////

////

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the
2 appointment of counsel is denied.

3 DATED: August 5, 2005.

4
5 
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 /kf
alex1014.31